



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,884	07/07/2003	Garry Tsur		8934

29745 7590 04/05/2006

JOE NIEH  
18760 E. AMAR ROAD #204  
WALNUT, CA 91789

EXAMINER

RICCI, JOHN A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3711

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/614,884

Applicant(s)

TSAUR, GARRY

Examiner

John Ricci

Art Unit

3711

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 January 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 9-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5-8 and 17-20 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number:  
10/614,884  
Art Unit: 3711

Page 2

Upon further consideration, the indicated allowability of some claims has been withdrawn.

\* \* \* \* \*

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Charatan 4,836,227.

Charatan shows a oral hygiene device having a hollow tubular housing with two blunt ends; a weakened opening means 15; and dental floss 30 affixed to the two ends (Figures 1, 2). A dentifrice liquid or cream 104 may be contained in the housing.

(Although ends 16, 18 converge, the ends are disclosed as being closed, not pointed (column 5, lines 39-45). An embodiment in which the end is pointed, though, is shown in figure 9.)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number:  
10/614,884  
Art Unit: 3711

Page 3

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 & 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Charatan in view of Neves 6,102,051.

Charatan shows an oral hygiene device including a housing with two ends 12, 14; a weakened opening means 15; and a length of dental floss 30 affixed to the two ends; a dentifrice liquid or cream 104 may also be contained in the housing. However, Charatan does not show an elongated pointed toothpick in the housing. One would recognize that addition of a toothpick to the floss would be a more effective oral hygiene device. For example, Neves shows that an oral hygiene device may include a housing 35 with a toothpick 28 and floss 32 therein. One would recognize that addition of this toothpick to the device of Charatan would be more effective than the floss alone. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the device of Charatan with a toothpick, as suggested by Neves.

\* \* \* \* \*

Claims 5-8 & 17-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if

Application/Control Number:  
10/614,884  
Art Unit: 3711

Page 4

rewritten in independent form including all of the  
limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

\* \* \* \* \*

This letter was prepared by Examiner John Ricci, who  
can be reached at:

Voice: 571-272-4429

Fax: Use 571-273-8300 for papers to be delivered  
directly to the mail room, like formal amendments and  
responses, change of address, power of attorney, petitions.

Use 703-783-0439 for papers to be delivered directly to  
the Examiner, like informal or proposed responses for  
discussion, or notes in preparation for an interview.

*Response by Fax is encouraged to reduce mail processing  
time. Please don't send duplicate papers by mail and Fax.*

PTO main switchboard: 800-786-9199.

Visit our Web site at [www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov).



**JOHN RICCI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 3711**